

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> FILED	<input type="checkbox"/> RECEIVED
<input type="checkbox"/> ENTERED	<input type="checkbox"/> SERVED ON
COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD	
OCT - 3 2017	
CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
BY: _____	DEPUTY _____

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KEVIN ALMY,
Plaintiff,
v.
LT. MINOR ADAMS et al.,
Defendants.

3:17-cv-00382-RCJ-VPC
ORDER

I. DISCUSSION

On June 19, 2017, Plaintiff filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* for prisoners and submitted a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1, 1-1). In September 2017, Plaintiff was released from prison. (See ECF No. 6). Plaintiff now asserts that he is no longer subject to the filing fee or screening process because he is no longer incarcerated. (*Id.* at 1). Plaintiff seeks to proceed with pre-trial discovery. (*Id.*)

The Court acknowledges that Plaintiff is no longer subject to the screening requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1915A because Plaintiff is no longer a "prisoner" within the meaning of the statute. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), (c). However, Plaintiff is subject to the screening requirement under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii). Under § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)-(iii), "the court shall dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that . . . the action or appeal (i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief." This provision applies to all actions filed *in forma pauperis*, whether or not the plaintiff is incarcerated. See *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000); see also *Calhoun v. Stahl*, 254 F.3d 845 (9th Cir. 2001) (per curiam).

1 As such, even though Plaintiff is no longer incarcerated, the Court must screen
2 Plaintiff's complaint under § 1915(e)(2) because Plaintiff seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*
3 in this action. Plaintiff may remove himself from the screening process in this case if he pays
4 the \$400 filing fee for initiating this civil action.

5 **II. CONCLUSION**

6 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED the motion to proceed (ECF No. 6) is
7 denied.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff seeks to remove this case from screening,
9 he shall pay the full \$400 fee for filing a civil action (which includes the \$350 filing fee and the
10 \$50 administrative fee).

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Plaintiff chooses not to pay the \$400 filing fee, the
12 Court will screen Plaintiff's civil rights complaint in due course. Due to the Court's caseload,
13 the screening process may take several months.

14
15 DATED: This 3rd day of October, 2017)

16
17 
18 United States Magistrate Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28